UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,112	12/19/2005	Mauro Pedretti	27793-00094USPX	3301
61060 WINSTEAD PO	7.590 07/30/200°	7	EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 50784		•	THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
DALLAS, TX	/3201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/518,112	PEDRETTI, MAURO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander Thomas	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED IN 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communicat DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.	•				
	<u>-</u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	I, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲,					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	l (d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Appl	ication No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been red	eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A. Figure 3; B. Figure 5; C. Figure 8; D. Figure 10; E. Figure 11; F. Figure 12.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.

2. Evidence of the lack of unity between the different species is found in 5,735,083 wherein it is found to disclose the features of instant claim 1. As such, the special

technical features of the claimed invention are not found to define a contribution over the prior art.

A telephone call was made to applicant's representative on March 5, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,112

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

alexandy Mana

Page 4